G. R. S. 59]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

1909.
(Kungrai)

File No. 462. 09

Serial Nos. 1 and 2

Papers Filed.

Month Febry 1910

SUBJECT.

Petn of the ryots of Komarnahalli Harihar Sub Taluk, complaining of the enhancement of the Gotta on the inam lands endowed to Sri Ranganatha Swami temple at the place

PREVIOUS REFERENCES	LATER REFERENCES
Land Survey 47-08	

G.R.S.11] 1909-10

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

(Muzrai)

NOTES.

File No. 462-09

FILE HEADING.

Petition from the ryots of Komaranahally, Haribar Sub-Taluk Complaining of the enhancement of gutta on the Inam lands endowed to Sri Ranganathaswamy temple at the place

From

The Revenue Commissioner in mysore.

No. 7432 Dated 26 1. 1910 Received

Diary No. 1493 | Enclos. 1 & 3 rle, Spare Copies - | Serial No. /

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Forwards copy of Shimoga Dy. Comm'rs Letter reporting on the above petition, and solicits orders of Govt as the subject is outside his jurisdiction.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

(Petition R 8280 of 14.5.09) (Flag A)

Rangarkere and others who are the cultivators of the land belonging to the Sri Ranganathaswamy Temple at Komaranahalli in the Hosanagar T. & S. Taluk complained that the portion of their lands have been enhanced and that the local authorities

NOTES—First sheet

GPB—30,000—3-4-07

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

Mag File No. 462 of 1909

Serial No.

rejected by their felling the trees lying round on their lands

Forest Branch brought it to a petition complaining of the encroachment of assessment at Ramey and sent it to Rev. Commr for report a report

(Flag B)

The Rev Comr obtained a report from the DS Cuddapah and sent the records to forthwarding the matter is not one in which he has jurisdiction The Rev Branch have therefore to file to this Branch

(Paper under Flag C)

The DS Cuddapah report clearly gives the facts of the case It is seen that the pattas have issued from R. ~~818~~ 818-0-2 to 1025-2-6 and that this is agreed to by the cultivators who have since been dissuaded by the Sharbang to complain

(letter no. 373 D/28 Dec 1909 Flag C)

A sketch showing the encroachment in each case forms part of the Viswanatam file A perusal thereof with them that there are no cases of any special hardship

(Flag D)

The petition so far as it is in favour of the complainants may be rejected.

The Adangal proper has not yet been noticed by anybody. If on the expiration of the petition the parties complain again of this we may consider the matter. A similar complaint of the Agraharam Vantas of Kalidi Ramaswamy Temple (file no. 632 of 1908) is pending disposal

Note—Contn sheet.

GPB—55,000—27-4-09

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

Mmz File No. 462 of 1909

Serial No.

2791

Submitted to the Commissioner
359 Ambhuraya
7/12/10

As the revision was made with the consent of the petrs, who are tenants, not permanent ~~not entitled to any permanent ten~~ the petn may be rejected.

The other prayer (about trees) is only subsidiary & need not be noticed.

12/2/10

14/2/10.

Put up draft.
14.2.10

Draft submitted
A.V
15 2-10

Dasre
S
4/12/1910

2 To - Komarlahalli Rangakow & others
No 2099 of 21-2 1910
Rejects the petn as the revision was made with the consent of the Petrs &c
To. The Dy Comr. No 2100 of 21 2-10
Forwards copy of the above.

Note—Contn. sheet.

GPB—55,000—27-4-09

G.R.S. 13]

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

D 4590.

From the Rev Comr

No 7432 7/26 1.10.

Forwards the Ver-
nacular papers and the letter
of the Dy Comr, Chittal dwg
District reporting on the appeal
petition of the ryots of
Komarnahalli Hoskot Sub Dt
Complaining against the
remission of the Gurtha amount
fine on their lands (in
their possession & enjoy-
ment) belonging to the
temple of Ranganatha
Swamy.

As the petition was
not clearly worded, it
was mistaken to be a
Complaint against the
assessment imposed on
the lands during the Revision

N. B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form

GPB—45,000—19-5-08

G.R.S. 13]

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

settlement and it was dealt with in the Survey Section. The petition was sent to the Rev. Comm for dis or report if necessary. The revision of the amount for the benefit of the temple was by the local Rev. Authorities. The matter therefore appears to belong to the Inspn Branch which may be consulted

Submitted

R.L. 6/2/10.

May be transferred to Inspn Branch for disposal

Transferred to Inspn Branch CS 5/2/10

Submitted 4/7.2.10.

CW. Finding further enquiries are now held before submitting to Govt

CS 7/2/10

Submitted. 7.2.10

N. B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.

GPB—45,000—19-5-08

R. C. 12.

FROM **19 -191 .**

RAJAKARYA PRAVINA
A. Rangaswami Iyengar Esq., B. A., B. L.,
Revenue Commissioner in Mysore.

To

The Secreta
H. H. the Ma araja of Mysore,
General & Revenue Departments.

Dated 26th January 1910
Despatched 29th
Received
No. 7432
Enclosure No. 1 + 3 files

SUBJECT.

Forwards copy of the Deputy Commissioner's letter No. 373 dated 28th December 09 reporting on the petition of the ryots of Komarnahalli, Harihar Sub Taluk, in the matter of the revision of assessment fixed on the Inam lands belonging to the Temple of Ranganatha-Swamy —

Caxton Press, Bangalore, 1000-9-09.

L.S - 47 - 08 - 2

No. 7432. 1+3 files

OFFICE OF THE REV. COMR. IN MYSORE,

Bangalore, 26th January 1910. 190

29 W

FROM

Rajakarya Pravina,
A. RANGASWAMI IYENGAR, ESQ., B. A., B. L.,
Revenue Commissioner in Mysore.

To

The Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
General & Revenue Departments.

SIR,

With reference to your official memorandum No.10691 -L.S. dated 17th June 1909 forwarding for report or disposal petition from the ryots of Komarnahalli, Harihar Sub-Taluk, in the matter of the revision of assessment fixed on the Inam lands belonging to the Temple of Ranganathaswamy at Komaranahalli, I have the honour to forward herewith copy of letter No.373 dated 28th December 1909 with its enclosures received from the Deputy Commissioner, Chitaldrug District. It will be seen therefrom that the gutta amounts fixed on these Inam lands were revised after effecting an amicable settlement between the Dharmadarsis of the temple and the cultivators of these lands. I submit the case for the orders of Government as the subject seems to be outside my jurisdiction.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

your most obedient servant,

For Revenue Commissioner.

KS

Letter No.373 dated 28/31st December 1909 from the Deputy Commissioner, Chitaldrug District, to the Revenue Commissioner in Mysore.

With reference to your official Memorandum No.753 dated the 12/13th July 1909, I have the honour to report that on the 11th January 1908 I asked the Assistant Commissioner Davangere Sub Division to revise the gutha amount fixed on the Inam lands belonging to the temple of Ranganathaswamy at Kumaranhally in the Harihar Sub Taluk as the value of the lands has considerably increased as in other localities owing to varios causes. The Deputy ~~Commissioner~~ Amildar of Harihar was deputed to investigate into the claims of the several holders of these lands and effect an amicable settlement, having in view the interests of both these institutions and the cultivators of these lands. The Dharmadarsees of the temple and the cultivators were sent for and their statements taken up in respect of the revised gutha fixed on each land; the signatures of the cultivating ryots were taken in proof of their acceptance of the enhanced rate of gutha. The khate and Inamthi Register were caused to be revised accordingly and submitted for my approval, and I was satisfied with the arrangements made in bringing about the settlement,. The aggregate gutha amount now secured comes up to Rs.1025-2-6 against Rs.818-0-2 fixed so far back as in 1874 or thereabouts. In almost all cases the offers of revised rates were voluntary as the cultivators believed that the sum thus Collected would be used for bonafide purposes of the temple. There was however only one complaint that was preferred by one Halappa, and it purported to be that his claims for tenency were not taken into consideration at the time of the settlement of the lands as he was absent from the place. The petition was forwarded to the local authorities. The evidence recorded by them in the course of investigation shewed that his claim was untenable, and hence his claim had to be rejected. He adduced no proof as to his allegations as to his long standing tenancy,

The records show that the khate was in the name of Kenchappa, and this Halappa was working under him for a few years. The new agreement was therefore effected with Kenchappa. Further it appears that these lands have been in the enjoyment of his ancestors who have been paying the gutha amount as per original agreement.

2. The petition received with your official Memorandum cited above is drawn up by one Desponde Pangappa Shanbhogue of the place, who feeling dissatisfied with the revised settlement of the lands belonging to the temple, has, I am afraid, set up some of the cultivators who have accepted the revised rates of gutha.

The entire records with the petition are herewith sent for favour of perusal and obliging return when no longer required.

'COPY.'

For Revenue Commissioner.

M.S.

Draft as per orders

No. 2099 Dt. 21-10-09

Mun-F.46g-09-2

P.70

By order
Yours faithfully
for Secretary

No. 2100/Mung F. 469-09-2 Cmdr 3 file
Copy to the Rev Commr in reply
with ref to his Letter no 7432 dy 26-1-1910.

2) The Verm records are herewith returned.

By order,
Sd/- [illegible]
for Secretary.
4/5/1910